

QU3 –peer-review process

From n.9 onwards, each article of a given QU3 issue undergoes a double blind peer-review. Therefore, authors and reviewers are anonymous. Two reviewers chosen in rotation from the always up-to-date list shown in the “who we are” section evaluate each article but the editorial and, when applicable, the postface. Reviewers and articles are matched according to the former’s competence.

Along with the article, reviewers receive an evaluation sheet that includes the following criteria:

- How significant is the topic in the disciplinary context;
- Innovative methodological approach;
- Relevant critical comments;
- Relevant outputs.

The reviewers’ evaluation of the article has four possible outcomes:

- Accepted with no reviews;
- Accepted with minor reviews;
- Accepted with substantial reviews;
- Accepted with extensive reviews;
- Rejected.

Once reviewed, the articles are sent to the editors of the issue, who in turn send them to the authors. The final choice whether to accept or reject an article lies with the editors, on the basis of the reviewers’ evaluation.